Program-Level Assessment Plan



Program: Spanish B.A. Degree Level: Undergraduate

Department: Languages, Literatures & Cultures College/School: College of Arts & Sciences

Date (Month/Year): July 2021 Primary Assessment Contact: Ana M. Montero/ Sheri Anderson/ Simone Bregni

Note: Each cell in the table below will expand as needed to accommodate your responses.

| # | Student Learning Outcomes | Curriculum Mapping | Assessme | ent Methods | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | What do the program faculty expect all students to know or be able to do as a result of completing this program? Note: These should be measurable and manageable in number (typically 4-6 are sufficient). | In which courses will faculty intentionally work to foster some level of student development toward achievement of the outcome? Please clarify the level at which student development is expected in each course (introduced, developed, reinforced, achieved etc.). | Artifacts of Student Learning (What) What artifacts of student learning will be used to determine if students have achieved this outcome? In which courses will these artifacts be collected? | Evaluation Process (How) 1. What process will be used to evaluate the artifacts, and by whom? 2. What tools(s) (e.g., a rubric) will be used in the process? Note: Please include any rubrics as part of the submitted plan documents. | | |
| 1 | Presentional communication: Graduates will be able to articulate complex ideas and have meaningful interactions in Spanish, both orally and in writing. | -2010, 3010, 3020 INTRODUCED -3000-level courses above 3020 DEVELOPED -4000, 4030, 4200 REINFORCED -4000-level courses above 4200 ACHIEVED AT ADVANCED LEVEL | Artifact: one oral interview, Interview is conducted during student's last semester, as part of the last 4000-level course | Oral interview will allow to assess students' oral proficiency (SLO1) and partially cultural awareness (SLO5) with the help of a rubric. Instructor for student's last 4000-level course will conduct interview. Undergraduate Program Coordinator provides further feedback. A pool of questions and a rubric are provided for the interview. The rubric is used to measure students' achieved proficiency (please see annex). | | |
| 2 | Interpretive communication: Graduates will be able to | -2010, 3010, 3020 INTRODUCED -3000-level courses above 3020 DEVELOPED | | | | |

| 3 | interpret texts and artifacts produced in Spanish. Cultural awareness: Graduates will be able to demonstrate an awareness of the diversity of the Spanish language, cultures, and Hispanic populations. | -4000, 4030, 4200 REINFORCED -4000-level courses above 4200 ACHIEVED AT ADVANCED LEVEL -2010, 3010, 3020 INTRODUCED -3000-level courses above 3020 DEVELOPED -4000, 4030, 4200 DEVELOPED -4000-level courses above 4200 ACHIEVED AT AN INTERMEDIATE TO ADVANCED LEVEL | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 4 | Cultural awareness: Graduates will be able to demonstrate an understanding of the role of Spanish as a major world language with a relevant cultural legacy. | -2010, 3010, 3020 INTRODUCED -3000-level courses above 3020 DEVELOPED -4030, 4200 REINFORCED -4000-level courses above 4200 ACHIEVED AT AN INTERMEDIATE TO ADVANCED LEVEL | |
| 5 | Intercultural communication: Graduates will be able to engage with Spanish-speaking cultures. They will be able to explain similarities and differences between cultures. | 2010, 3010, 3020 INTRODUCED 3000-level courses above 3020 DEVELOPED 4000, 4030, 4200 REINFORCED 4000-level courses above 4200 ACHIEVED AT AT AN INTERMEDIATE TO ADVANCED LEVEL | |

Use of Assessment Data

1. How and when will analyzed data be used by program faculty to make changes in pedagogy, curriculum design, and/or assessment practices?

Analyzed data will be reviewed by Spanish program faculty in a meeting at the end of the Spring semester (artifacts are planned to be collected at the beginning of the Spring semester). Changes will be implemented in the next Fall.

2. How and when will the program faculty evaluate the impact of assessment-informed changes made in previous years?

We will probably be able to detect the impact of assessment-informed changes in the final survey students complete before graduation. There have been ongoing efforts to improve our program as a response to students' needs (such as an increase in elective courses at the 3000 level, since 2017, and creation of courses on Spanish for medical purposes at the 3000 and 4000 level). These changes have been welcomed by the students, as enrollment figures show. Nevertheless, we have regularly been losing faculty members—we used to be 14 full timers; we are nine now—which makes difficult not only to implement changes, but also to maintain the structure and goals of the program.

Additional Questions

- 1. On what schedule/cycle will program faculty assess each of the program's student learning outcomes? (Please note: It is not recommended to try to assess every outcome every year.)
 - The first learning outcome with a focus on writing proficiency has been analyzed during the cycle 2017-2020.
 - The first learning outcome with a focus on oral expression will be analyzed during this cycle: 2021 to 2023.
- 2. Describe how, and the extent to which, program faculty contributed to the development of this plan.
 - This new assessment plan was set up and discussed during two Spanish program meetings (Spring 2021). Next, it was implemented by five full-time faculty members who conducted the oral interviews (Spring 2021) and completed one rubric per student. Spanish Undergraduate Program Coordinator reviewed half of the interviews by means of the rubric (June 2021), compiled and summarized information. This report was discussed during a Spanish program meeting (August 26, 2021).

IMPORTANT: Please remember to submit any rubrics or other assessment tools along with this plan.

ANNEX Interpersonal Rubric—Advanced Learner (ACTFL, 2003) (prepared by Sheri Anderson, April 2021)

| CRITERIA | Exceeds Expectations | Meets Expectations: | Meets Expectations: | Does Not Meet | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | 5 | Strong <mark>4</mark> | Minimal <mark>3</mark> | Expectations 2 | |
| | ADVANCED+/SUPERIOR | ADVANCED | ADVANCED LOW | INTERMEDIATE | |
| LANGUAGE FUNCTION | Narrates and describes | Consistently and | Consistently <u>narrates</u> | Handles successfully | |
| Language tasks the | fully and accurately in all | extensively <u>narrates and</u> | and describes in all | uncomplicated tasks and | |
| speaker is able to handle | major time frames. Can | describes in all major | <u>major time frames.</u> Able | social situations requir- | |
| in a consistent, | discuss some topics | time frames by | to <u>participate in most</u> | ing exchange of basic | |
| comfortable, sustained, | abstractly, especially | providing a full account. | conversations, informal | information related to | |
| and spontaneous | those related to | Participates actively in | and some formal, <u>on</u> | work, school, | |
| manner | particular interests and | all informal and most | familiar topics, which | recreation, particular | |
| | expertise. May provide a | formal conversations on | may include current | interests, and areas of | |
| | structured argument to | a variety of topics | events, employment, | competence. Narrates and describes in all | |
| | support opinions and | | | | |
| may construct | | current, public, and per- | interest. Can handle | major time frames, | |
| | hypotheses. | sonal interest. Can | appropriately an | although not | |
| | | handle successfully and | unexpected turn of | consistently. | |
| | | with ease an unexpected | events or complication. | | |
| | | turn of events or | | | |
| | | complication. | | | |
| TEXT TYPE | Uses paragraph-length | Uses connected, para- | Uses <u>connected</u> | Uses mostly connected | |
| Quantity and | discourse and some | graph-length discourse | sentences and | sentences and some | |
| organization of | extended discourse. | that conveys meaning | paragraph-length | paragraph-like | |
| language discourse | | with a clear beginning- | <u>discourse</u> . | discourse. | |
| (continuum: word - | | middle-end to thoughts. | | | |
| phrase - sentence - | | Invites continued | | | |
| connected sentences - | | engagement in the | | | |
| paragraph - extended | | discourse. | | | |
| discourse) | | | | | |

| COMMUNICATION STRATEGIES Quality of engagement and interactivity; how one participates in the conversation and advances it; strategies for negotiating meaning in the face of breakdown of communication | Converses with ease, confidence, and competence. Maintains, advances and/ or redirects conversation. Demonstrates confident use of communicative strategies such as paraphrasing, circumlocution, and illustration. | Converses with ease and confidence. Maintains and advances conversation. Uses communicative strategies such as rephrasing and circumlocution. | Maintains conversation. May use communicative strategies such as rephrasing and circumlocution. | Converses with ease and confidence when dealing with routine tasks and social situations. May clarify by paraphrasing. |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| COMPREHENSIBILITY Who can understand this person's language? Can this person be understood only by sympathetic listeners used to interacting with non-natives? Can a native speaker unaccustomed to non-native speech understand this speaker? | Is readily understood by native speakers unaccustomed to interacting with non-natives. | Is <u>understood by native</u> <u>speakers</u> unaccustomed to interacting with non- natives. | Is understood by native speakers, even those unaccustomed to interacting with nonnatives, although this may require some repetition or restatement. | Is generally understood by those unaccustomed to interacting with non- natives, although interference from another language may be evident and gaps in communication may occur. |
| LANGUAGE CONTROL Grammatical accuracy, appropriate vocabulary, degree of fluency | Demonstrates full control of aspect in narrating in present, past and future time. Uses precise vocabulary and intonation, great fluency, and ease of speech. Accuracy may break down when | Demonstrates good control of aspect in narrating in present, past and future time. Has substantial fluency and extensive vocab- ulary. The quality and/or quantity of speech generally declines when | Demonstrates minimal fluency and some control of aspect in narrating in present, past and future time. Vocabulary may lack specificity. Speech decreases in quality and quantity when | Demonstrates significant quantity and quality of Intermediate- level language. When attempting to perform Advanced-level tasks, there is breakdown in one or more of the following areas: the |

| attempting to perform | attempting to perform | attempting to perform | ability to narrate and |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| the most complex tasks | functions or handle | functions or handle | describe, use of |
| associated with the | topics associated with | topics associated with | paragraph-length |
| Superior level. | the Superior level. | the Superior level. | discourse, fluency, |
| | | | breadth of vocabulary. |

Intercultural Knowledge and Competence VALUE Rubric*

| CRITERIA | Exceeds Expectations | Meets Expectations: | Meets Expectations: | Does Not Meet |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Strong | Minimal | Expectations |
| KNOWLEDGE | Articulates insights into | Recognizes new | <u>Identifies own cultural</u> | Shows minimal |
| Cultural self-awareness | own cultural rules and | perspectives about own | rules and biases (e.g. | awareness of own |
| | biases (e.g. seeking | cultural rules and biases | with a strong preference | cultural rules and biases |
| | complexity; aware of | (e.g. not looking for | for those rules shared | (even those shared with |
| | how her/his experiences | sameness; comfortable | with own cultural group | own cultural group(s)) |
| | have shaped these rules, | with the complexities | and seeks the same in | (e.g. uncomfortable with |
| | and how to recognize | that new perspectives | others.) | identifying possible |
| | and respond to cultural | offer.) | | cultural differences with |
| | biases, resulting in a shift | | | others.) |
| | in self-description.) | | | |
| SKILLS | Interprets intercultural | Recognizes intellectual | <u>Identifies components of</u> | Views the experience of |
| Empathy | experience from the | and emotional | other cultural_ | others but does so |
| | perspectives of own and | dimensions of more | <u>perspectives</u> but | through own cultural |
| | more than one worldview | than one worldview and | responds in all situations | worldview. |
| | and demonstrates ability | sometimes uses more | with own worldview. | |
| | to act in a supportive | than one worldview in | | |
| | manner that recognizes | interactions. | | |
| | the feelings of another | | | |
| | cultural group. | | | |

| ATTITUDES | Asks complex questions | Asks deeper questions | Asks simple or surface | States minimal interest |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Curiosity | about other cultures, | about other cultures | questions about other | in learning more about |
| | seeks out and articulates | and seeks out answers | <u>cultures</u> and always in | other cultures. |
| | answers to these | to these questions. | comparison to the self. | |
| | questions that reflect | | | |
| | multiple cultural | | | |
| | perspectives. | | | |

<u>Definition</u>: Intercultural K nowledge and Competence is "a set of cognitive, affective, and behavioral skills and characteristics that support effective and appropriate interaction in a variety of cultural contexts." (Bennett, J. M. 2008. Transformative training: Designing programs for culture learning. In Contemporary leadership and intercultural competence: Understanding and utilizing cultural diversity to build successful organizations, ed. A. Moodian, 95-110. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.)

Glossary: The definitions that follow were developed to clarify terms and concepts used in this rubric only.

- Culture: All knowledgeand values shared by a group.
- Cultural rules and biases: Boundaries within which an individual operates in order to feel a sense of belonging to a society or group, based on the values shared by that society or group.
- Empathy: "Empathy is the imaginary participation in another person's experience, including emotional and intellectual dimensions, by imagining his or her perspective (not by assuming the person's position)". Bennett, J. 1998. Transition shock: Putting culture shockin perspective. In Basic concepts of intercultural communication, ed. M. Bennett, 215-224. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press.
- Intercultural experience: The experience of an interaction with an individual or groups of people whose culture is different from your own.
- Intercultural/cultural differences: The differences in rules, behaviors, communication and biases, based on cultural values that are different from one's own culture.
- Suspends judgment in valuing their interactions with culturally different others: Postpones assessment or evaluation (positive or negative) of interactions with people culturally different from one self. Disconnecting from the process of automatic judgment and taking time to reflect on possibly multiple meanings.
- Worldview: Worldview is the cognitive and affective lens through which people construe their experiences and make sense of the world around them.

*The VALUE rubrics were developed by teams of faculty experts representing colleges and universities across the United States through a process that examined many existing campus rubrics and related documents for each learning outcome and incorporated additional feedback from faculty. The rubrics articulate fundamental criteria for each learning outcome, with performance descriptors demonstrating progressively more sophisticated levels of attainment. The rubrics are intended for institutional-level use in evaluating and discussing student learning, not for grading. The core expectations articulated in all 15 of the VALUE rubrics can and should be translated into the language of individual campuses, disciplines, and even courses. The utility of the VALUE rubrics is to position learning at all undergraduate levels within a basic framework of expectations such that evidence of learning can by shared nationally through a common dialog and understanding of student success. See more at: https://www.aacu.org/value-rubrics

TABLE WITH STUDENTS' SCORES (Spring-Summer 2021)

First column: Name of student (name of instructors who provided rubric)

First row: Categories in Rubric (please see rubric below with numbers highlighted in light blue)

| | Lang. Function | Text Type | Comm. Strategies | Compreh. | Lang. Control | Cultural Self- awar. | Empathy | Curiosity | Total |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------|-----------|-------|
| Student 1 (Faculty member 1) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 30 |
| Student 1 (Faculty member 2) | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 25 |
| Student 2 (Faculty member 3) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 26 |
| Student 2 (Faculty member 2) | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 24 |
| Student 3 (Faculty member 4) | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 30 |
| Student 4 (Faculty member 2) | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 28 |
| Student 5 (Faculty member 5) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 19 |
| Student 5 (Faculty member 2) | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 31 |
| Average | 3.12 | 3.37 | 2.85 | 3.37 | 3.25 | 3.37 | 3.85 | 3.37 | 26.62 |