

UAAC & GAAC Proposal for a New Certificate Program – Draft, Sept 10, '16

Requesting College(s)/School(s)/Center(s): College of Philosophy & Letters									
Requesting Department(s):									
Academic Award:	⊠Certificate								
Academic Level:	□Under	Undergraduate							
	C 1.C. 1								
Title:		in Foundations of Christian Service							
Program Start Term		Fall 2017							
SLU Approval Authority		Signature	Date						
Department Chair									
College/School/Center									
Curriculum Committee Chair									
College/School/Center Dean									
Chair, UAAC/GAAC									
Council of Academic Deans and Directors									
Governing Campus Vice President									
Chair, Academic Affairs		Mar Mar dad							
Committee of the University Board of Trustees		Not Needed	n/a						
Chair, University Board of Trustees		Not Needed	n/a						
HLC Approval Date:									

05/09/14

4.0 STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES AND ASSESSMENT PLAN

Note: You are strongly encouraged to work with the University Assessment Coordinator (977-4189 or thatcherk@slu.edu) as you develop this portion of the proposal. The University Assessment Coordinator can help you establish appropriate student learning outcomes, methods for measuring student progress and using the data to inform program improvement, and assist with all facets of academic assessment.

4.1 Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Plan

Complete the table below to provide an overview of your plan to assess student progress toward achievement of desired program-level learning outcomes. Note that results of evaluations of student performance against each learning outcome identified below will be reviewed as part of all college/school/center-level and University-level program reviews.

Program-Level Student Learning Outcomes Evaluation Method Use of Assessment Data What are the most important (no more than five) How will students document/demonstrate their performance toward achievement of the How and when will student performance data be learning outcomes? How will you measure student performance toward achievement of specific learning outcomes you intend for all analyzed and then used to "close the assessment program completers to be able to achieve and the learning outcomes? loop" and inform program improvement? How <u>demonstrate</u> upon completion of the program? will you document that? Describe any use of direct measures: capstone experiences/courses, standardized exams, comprehensive exams, dissertations, licensure exams, locally developed exams, portfolio reviews, course-embedded assessments, etc. Describe any use of <u>indirect</u> measures: student, alumni or employer surveys (including satisfaction surveys); exit interviews/focus groups with grads; retention/transfer studies; graduation rates; job placement/grad school admission rates; etc. **EXAMPLE:** EXAMPLE: **EXAMPLE:** 1. Demonstrate a thorough understanding of Assessment results will be analyzed annually Direct Measures: ethical problems being addressed in an against a standard rubric by the program director 1. The following courses in the program specifically require formal case analyses designed individual case or class of cases. and a small team of faculty; recommendations for to elicit direct evidence of student development toward this outcome: BUS 500, BUS curriculum, pedagogy and/or assessment revisions 522. BUS 600 will be made to the department faculty on an 2. Embedded in the mid-term and final exams in certain required courses (BUS 550, annual cycle that allows for appropriate MGMT 503, BUS 650) will be questions designed specifically to provide data enabling implementation. faculty and program administrators to evaluate student progress toward this outcome. Reviews of the impact of any such program **Indirect Measures** changes will also be conducted annually, and the records of those reviews will be maintained by our 1. End-of-course student surveys will solicit self-evaluations of their development in the department assessment coordinator. context of this outcome. 2. Alumni surveys (administered one and five post-graduation) will solicit from graduates self-evaluations of their continued development in the context of this outcome, and will particularly focus on how the program has impacted professional competency.

9/1/11

Outcome 1 Students are able to articulate key ideas and methods that are suitable for understanding and analyzing contexts of Catholic ministry SKILL LEVEL: I, III	Direct Measures: The capstone project will be evaluated according to its satisfaction of this outcome; the Dean will collect data from the instructor that indicates level of achievement of the specific outcome. Indirect Measures: Student and instructor feedback on the quality of these courses (exit survey, course evaluations)	Results will inform program development and advising on required courses and electives, so as to steer students into the more effective courses. Specific improvements in the capstone course will be made to address identifiable weakness revealed by assessment.
Outcome 2 Students will be able to analyze specific challenges in the contexts of Catholic ministry SKILL LEVEL: II, III	Direct Measures: The capstone project will be evaluated according to its satisfaction of this outcome; the Dean will collect data from the instructor that indicates level of achievement of the specific outcome. Indirect Measures: Student and instructor feedback on the quality of these courses (exit survey, course evaluations)	Specific improvements in the capstone course will be made to address identifiable weakness revealed by assessment. Results might also lead to revisions in course requirements. Successes will be celebrated in ways appropriate to the student's professional and religious situation.

4.2 Curriculum Mapping

Courses should contribute to student achievement of the program learning outcomes detailed above. Sequencing should be intentional and complementary, allowing for the development of curricular content at multiple levels and the application and demonstration of student understanding and skills at multiple levels. Accordingly, complete the two curriculum maps below, indicating the course(s) in which each learning outcome is intentionally addressed and at particular levels of intellectual complexity and rigor, using the level indicators* provided below. Depending on the nature of the proposed program, the levels may seem more or less appropriate. Without veering from the spirit of the exercise, you may adapt the levels as deemed appropriate.

9/1/11

Level I	Level II	Level III		
 Knowledge & Comprehension: Recall data or information; understand the meaning, translation, interpolations, and interpretation of instructions and problems; state a problem in one's own words. 	unprompted use of an abstraction. Application of knowledge in novel situations.	 Synthesis: Builds a structure or pattern from diverse elements. Put parts together to form a whole, with emphasis on creating a new meaning or structure. Evaluation: Make judgments about the value of ideas or materials. 		

Note: When you first complete the curriculum maps, you may see that certain outcomes are not addressed in any developmentally-appropriate sequence, or that a particular outcome might not be addressed substantially enough; you might even see that you have included a course(s) in your curriculum that doesn't substantially contribute to the development of any outcome. You should use the map to alter your program design, course syllabi and course sequencing to best facilitate and support student achievement of the outcomes. The result of that exercise should be a final curriculum map presented below when you submit your proposal to UAAC.

Curriculum Map

Course Area:	Ethics	Applied Ethics	Moral Theology	Philosophical Foundations	Theological Foundations	Disciplinary Foundations	Capstone
Course selections:	PHIL 2050, or equivalent	PHIL 3300, 3400, 3410, 3430, etc., as relevant for capstone & approved by Dean	THEO 2510, 2515, or equivalent	Courses in Phil Human Nature, Metaphysics, Epistemology, etc., as relevant for capstone & approved by Dean	Courses in Systematic or Constructive Theology, Methodology, etc., as relevant for capstone & approved by Dean	Courses in disciplinary areas relevant for capstone & approved by Dean	PLJ 4960 or PHIL 5800
Outcome:	1	1, 2	1, 2	1	1	1	2
Level:	1, 111	1, 11, 111	1, 11, 111	I	I	I	1, 11, 111

9/1/11 10

Courses Offered by Home Department of Proposed Major or Minor:

Major or Minor Student Learning Outcomes	DEPT 101	DEPT 102	DEPT 203	DEPT 204	DEPT 205	DEPT 206	DEPT 307	DEPT 308	DEPT 309	DEPT 410	DEPT 411
Example: Outcome #1	1	1	1, 2	2	2	2		3	3	2	2, 3

Program Courses Offered by Other Departments:

Major or Minor Student Learning Outcomes	DEPT 100	DEPT 110	DEPT 220	DEPT 230	DEPT 340	DEPT 350	DEPT360
Example: Outcome #1	1	2	1		2, 3		

^{*} Adapted from Bloom's Taxonomy (1965)

9/1/11 10